



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/991,762

11/21/2001

Margaret Sue Ellis

1698/US

5316

20686

7590

12/08/2009

DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
370 SEVENTEENTH STREET
SUITE 4700
DENVER, CO 80202-5647

EXAMINER

TINKLER, MURIEL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3691

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/08/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/991,762	Applicant(s) ELLIS, MARGARET SUE	
	Examiner MURIEL TINKLER	Art Unit 3691	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14, 16-33, 41 and 42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14, 16-33, 41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/17/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. The response to Office Action and arguments filed on August 17, 2009 has been reviewed. Claims 14, 16-33 and 41-22 have been examined. The rejections are as follows.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed August 17, 2009, with respect to the 35 USC 101 Rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Applicant has amended the claims to overcome any 35 USC 101 rejections. The 35 USC 101 Rejection of the pending claims has been withdrawn.
2. The Applicant has amended the pending independent claims and argues these limitations against the current rejection of file, mailed on March 16, 2009. All arguments have been considered. The rejections are below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 22-33, 42 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heffner et al. (US 2003/0018558) in view of McCauley et al. (US 5,930,775), hereafter referred to as Heffner and McCauley respectively.

5. Regarding claims 27-29, 30 and 41, Heffner discloses:
 - a. A loan pool in the Abstract
 - b. A database storing an electronic record in the Abstract
 - c. Receiving and applying a selection of at least one risk filter in paragraph 86
 - d. Displaying each of at least one loan and having a characteristic of the applied risk filter in paragraph 227
 - e. Pre-selected rules in paragraph 219
 - f. Mortgage loans in paragraph 6
 - g. Providing a loss mitigation action for securitized loan pool based on an assessment of each of the at least one loans having the characteristic of the at least one applied risk filter as, "create credit slots with a list of criteria for each of said credit slots. The invention compares the loans in the loan pools to the credit slot criteria and places each loan in the loan pool in its slot. Based on the credit slotting and a pricing model received from the second subscriber, the invention can automatically price the pool and automatically submit a bid on the pool to the first subscriber. The invention can also calculate a price for a pool based on a yield calculation which is determined by the percent yield that the subscriber wishes to recover." The risk filters are the credit slots. The loans in the loan pools are assessed and placed in their appropriate slots (applying the risk filter).

Art Unit: 3691

- h. the operation of obtaining a fourth time factor to account for foreclosure proceedings associated with the loan includes: determining a foreclosure start date; determining a current date; subtracting the foreclosure start date from the current date; and wherein the operation of subtracting yields the fourth time factor (columns 3-8; Fig. 1-6)
 - i. the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a fifth time factor to account for a delinquency status associated with the loan; adding the fifth time factor to the last interest paid date (see column 7, lines 52-67; Fig. 6; column 2, lines 19-26; abstract).
6. Heffner does not disclose a loss mitigation action to make delinquency payments or the option to refinance. However, McCauley discloses: the operation of determining a fifth time factor to account for a delinquency status associated with the loan includes: determining whether the loan has a delinquency status of current, 30 days delinquent, 60 days delinquent, or 90 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to two months if the delinquency status is 30 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to one month if the delinquency status is 60 days delinquent; setting the fifth time factor to zero months if the delinquency status is 90 days delinquent; and setting the fifth time factor to three months if the delinquency status is current date (see column 7, lines 52-67; Fig. 6; column 2, lines 19-26; abstract). McCauley also discloses refinancing (to modify conditions of a loan, i.e. to reduce interest rates) in column 2 (lines 19-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heffner to include the loss mitigation factors including

Art Unit: 3691

making delinquent payments and refinancing because these options provide a way to help to maximize the rate of return and minimize loss potential with these loans (see McCauley, column 1 (lines 40-43) and provides lenders with information on options for nonperforming loans, column 2 (lines 50-57).

7. Regarding claims 14 and 42, Heffner discloses the information in claims 27-29, 30 and 41. See the rejection of claim 1 above. Heffner does not disclose obtaining an estimated liquidation time. McCauley teaches: obtaining an estimated net proceeds amount from a sale of the property associated with the loan (Fig. 6; column 5, lines 31-33; column 6, lines 65-column 7, lines 8; column 8, lines 48-50); obtaining an estimated liquidation time between a last interest paid date for the loan and a receipt of the net proceeds from the sale of the property (column 2, lines 19-26; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6; abstract). McCauley also discloses applying a liquidation time value decision tree in figures 1-6 and the Abstract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Heffner to include estimating liquidation time because it would provide the parties with an estimated time line for the loan.

8. Regarding claim 16, Heffner discloses: a time line for loan life in paragraph 18; and, a payment plan in paragraph 30.

9. Regarding claim 17, Heffner discloses filtering according to pre-selected rules in paragraph 86.

10. Regarding claim 18, McCauley discloses Regarding claim 19, McCauley discloses: obtaining a first time factor to account for a payment plan includes:

Art Unit: 3691

determining an end date for the payment plan; determining a current date; subtracting the current date from the end date for the payment plan; and wherein the operation Of subtracting generates the first time factor to account for a payment plan (column 1, lines 25-30; column 2, lines 19-26; column 11, lines 1-7; Fig. 6; abstract; column 8, lines 22-60).

11. Regarding claims 19 and 20, McCauley discloses: obtaining a second time factor to account for a bankruptcy proceeding associated with the loan and adding the second time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6; abstract).

12. Regarding claims 22 and 23, McCauley discloses: the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a third time factor to account for litigation associated with the loan and adding the third time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; column 8, lines 39-47; column 3, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7, lines 1-8; Fig. 6).

13. Regarding claim 24, McCauley discloses: the operation of obtaining a third time factor to account for litigation associated with the loan includes: determining if there is litigation associated with the loan and setting the third time factor to twelve months if there is litigation associated with the loan (column 2, lines 19-26; column 5, lines 1-33; column 7-8; Fig. 6; abstract).

14. Regarding claims 25 and 26, McCauley discloses: the operation of applying a liquidation time value decision tree includes: obtaining a fourth time factor to account for

Art Unit: 3691

foreclosure proceedings associated with the loan and adding the fourth time factor to the last interest paid date (column 2, lines 19-26; columns 3-8; Fig. 1-6).

15. Regarding claims 31 and 32, McCauley discloses: obtaining a sixth time factor to account for a marketing period to sell the property and adding the sixth time factor to the last interest paid date (column 1, lines 25-30; column 2, lines 1-26; column 10, line 33-column 11, line 5).

16. Regarding claim 33, McCauley discloses: the operation of obtaining a sixth time factor to account for a marketing period to sell the property includes: determining if an eviction is required; adding two months to the sixth time factor if the eviction is required; determining if the property is a co-op; adding three months to the sixth time factor if the property is a co-op; determining if the property is located in a depressed region; adding twelve months to the sixth time factor if the property is in a depressed region; determining if the property is in bad condition; adding six months to the sixth time factor if the property is in bad condition; determining if the property has a value that exceeds a specified value; and adding two months to the sixth time factor if the property value exceeds the specified value (Fig. 1-6; abstract; column 5-8).

17. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heffner and McCauley as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Sellers et al. (US 2001/0044773), hereafter referred to as Sellers.

Art Unit: 3691

18. Regarding claim 21, Heffner and McCauley disclose the information in claim 20.

McClauey and Heffner do not disclose: determining whether a chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; setting the second time factor to three months if the chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan setting the second time factor to twelve months if the chapter eleven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan; and setting the second time factor to three months if another bankruptcy proceeding or an unidentified bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan. Sellers teaches the following steps: determining whether a chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan (Fig. 13N; ¶ 0077); setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan (Fig. 13N; ¶ 0077-0078); Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add determining whether a chapter thirteen bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding, a chapter twelve bankruptcy proceeding, or another chapter bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan and setting the second time factor to six months if the chapter seven bankruptcy proceeding is associated with the loan features to the method of McClauey and Heffner because Sellers et al. teaches that adding the features help to provides a system for

Art Unit: 3691

automatically obtaining loan workout approval (§ 0008). The steps of: setting the second time factor to different months relating to different chapter bankruptcy is the design choices. Please refer to above rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MURIEL TINKLER whose telephone number is (571)272-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM until 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3691

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Kalinowski/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3691

/M. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 3691